



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,094	02/14/2002	Alejandro R. Holcman	020043	1419
23696	7590	07/27/2007	EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			HALIYUR, VENKATESH N	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2616		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/077,094	HOLCMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkatesh Haliyur	2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 (claim 24 canceled) is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-11, 13-20, 22 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5, 12 and 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 05/15/2007 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome Wang et al and Chheda reference. Rejection follows.
2. Claims 1-23 are pending in the application. Claim 24 is canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4,6-11,13-20,22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al [US Pat: 6,603,761] in view of Chheda [US Pat: 6,266,529].

Regarding claims 1,8,17, Wang et al in the invention of "Using internet and internet protocols to bypass PSTN, GSM MAP, and ANSI-41 networks for wireless telephone call delivery" disclosed a method of effecting handoff of a mobile station (**item**

Art Unit: 2616

240 of Fig 3, dual mode mobile device, col 2, lines 14-67, col 3, lines 1-32) from a first base station (item 205 of Fig 1) in a first cellular communications system controlled by a first mobile switching control station (MSC, item 210 of Fig 3) to a second base station (item 255 of Fig 3) in a second cellular system controlled by a second mobile switching control station (MSC in item 250 of Fig 3), said first cellular communications system being a CDMA system (item 200, col 6, lines 11-22), and said second cellular system being a GSM system (item 250, col 6, lines 23-65), the method comprising: measuring at the mobile station a first parameter (call handoff data) of a first signal transmitted by said first base station; measuring at the mobile station a second parameter (call handoff data to provide service for multi-mode subscribers in GSM and CDMA areas, col 2, lines 1-26) of a second signal transmitted by said second base station (col 4, lines 1-31, Fig 1); communicating a signal quality message from the mobile station via the first base station to said first mobile switching control station, when the first and second parameters reach a predetermined condition (handoff conditions, col 4, lines 24-60, Table 1); generating at the first mobile switching control station an Application Data Delivery Service (call delivery data) message containing handoff data, the ADDS message being a type of tunneling (Mobile IP tunnel) mechanism which transparently passes within the CDMA system GSM parameters; communicating the ADDS message from said first mobile switching control station to said mobile station; generating at the mobile station a Mobile Application Protocol (MAP) message containing the handoff data (col 4, lines 61-67, col

Art Unit: 2616

5, lines 1-43); and communicating the MAP message from the mobile station to the second mobile switching control station (col 5, lines 64-67, col 6, lines 1-65, Figs 1-3).

Wang et al disclosed measuring the first and second parameters as call handoff data to provide service for dual mode subscribers in CDMA and GSM area, but fails to disclose that first and second parameters correspond to signal strength to communicate signal quality from mobile station (**Wang et al, col 2, lines 1-26, col 5, lines 7-24**).

However, Chheda in the invention of "Method For CDMA Handoff in the Vicinity of Highly Sectorized Cells" disclosed a method measuring at the mobile station a first parameter of a first signal transmitted by said first base station (**Figs 2-3, col 5, lines 55-67, col 6, lines, col 6, lines 1-15, col 6, lines 49-67,col 7, lines 1-52**) and measuring at the mobile station a second parameter of a second signal transmitted by said second base station communicating a signal quality message from the mobile station via the first base station to said first mobile switching control station (**Fig 4, col 7, lines 53-67, col 8, lines 1-41**), when the first and second parameters reach a predetermined condition (**thresholds**) (**col 3, lines 4-27, col 5, lines 55-67, col 6, lines 1-15**). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of communicating signal quality information from mobile station in the first and second parameters corresponding to signal strength in the signals when the first and second parameters reach a predetermined condition for calls in progress to be handed over without interruption as taught by Chheda (**col 3, lines 4-26**) in the system of Wang et al to measure at the mobile station a first parameter of a first signal transmitted by said first base station and

Art Unit: 2616

measuring at the mobile station a second parameter transmitted by the second base station for determining the quality of signal strength for handoffs. One is motivated as such in order to use the method of measuring signal strength information at mobile station in the signals transmitted by the base stations of adjacent cells for allowing handoff of calls in progress without interruptions to provide seamless communication.

Regarding claims 2-4,9-11,18-20, Wang et al disclosed generating at the second mobile switching control station channel information identifying a channel (**col 8, lines 32-43**) in the second communications system for the mobile station and establishing communication between said mobile station and said second base station in the identified channel and discontinuing (**bypass**) communication between said mobile station and said first base station (**bypass VLR/HLR interaction, col 10, lines 9-50, Fig 8**).

Regarding claims 6-7,13-14,22-23, Wang et al disclosed handoff data includes timing information and handoff data includes authentication data (**col 4, lines 5-38**).

Regarding claims 15-16, Wang et al disclosed passing handoff data for uninterrupted service for multi-mode mobile device user located in CDMA area and roaming in to a GSM service area or vice versa (**col 2, lines 14-26, col 3, lines 19-29**), but fails to disclose that the first transceiver chain is active when the second transceiver chain is inactive and wherein the second transceiver chain is active when the first transceiver chain is inactive. However, Chheda disclosed a method wherein first transceiver chain is active (**tx/rx antenna, item 20 of Fig 2, col 6, lines 49-67,col 7, lines 1-52**) when the second transceiver chain is inactive and wherein the second

Art Unit: 2616

transceiver chain is active when the first transceiver chain is inactive (**keeping the strong channels in the active set of cells, col 3, lines 4-26**). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method of storing active and inactive channels from transceivers as taught by Chheda (**col 3, lines 4-26, col 9, lines 16-38**) in the system of Wang et al to select a channel that is active with quality signal strength. One is motivated as such in order to use the method of maintaining active and inactive channel sets to transmit and receive maximum strength signals at the mobile for effecting uninterrupted call handoffs when an user moves from CDMA service area to GSM service area or vice versa.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's argument, see remarks filed on 05/15/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments for claims 1-23 as follows;

- a. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. In this case, Wang et al disclosed their invention for mobile subscribers roaming from a GSM system to CDMA

Art Unit: 2616

System or vice versa (col 4, lines 24-67) and IP tunneling (col 8, lines 32-42) and Chedda disclosed the invention for mobile cellular (CDMA) handoff based on the signal quality threshold level (col 3, lines 4-26, col 5, lines 55-67, col 6, lines 1-14). Wang et al and Chedda references disclosed their inventions in the related art of CDMA and GSM systems of the applicant's invention for wireless communications and hence one of ordinary skill in the art can combine or modify the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention.

b. In response to applicant's argument that Chedda is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. In this case, Chedda disclosed the invention for mobile cellular (CDMA) handoff parameters by measuring/monitoring parameter levels (Chedda, col 12, lines 18-67, Fig 7) which is analogous to the applicant's claimed invention which relies on a general parameter being measured for signal transmitted as recited in claims 1,8,17.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5,12,21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the attention to Venkatesh Haliyur whose phone number is 571-272-8616. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00 PM. If

Art Unit: 2616

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached @ (571)-272-7884. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2600 or fax to 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system; see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

Venkatesh Haliyur

Patent Examiner

MN 07/12/07

EDAN ORGAD
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Edan Orgad 7/21/07